

PHILODEMI *DE PIETATE*

PHerc. 1428, FR. 14

[EMPEDOCLIS TESTIMONIUM]

RECOGNOVIT

CHRISTIAN VASSALLO

CONSPECTUS SIGLORUM

P	<i>PHerc. 1428</i>
N	apographum Neapolitanum <i>PHerc. 1428</i>
DK	H. Diels-W. Kranz, <i>Die Fragmente der Vorsokratiker</i> , Griechisch und Deutsch von H. Diels, sechste verbesserte Auflage hrsg. von W. Kranz, 3 B.de, Weidmann, Berlin 1951 (repr. Weidmann, Dublin-Zürich 1972 ¹⁶)
Gallavotti	C. Gallavotti, <i>Empedocle. Poema fisico e lustrale</i> , a cura di C. Gallavotti, («Fondazione Lorenzo Valla»), Mondadori, Milano 1975
Gomperz	Th. Gomperz, <i>Philodem. Über Frömmigkeit</i> , bearb. und erläutert von Th. Gomperz, («Herkulanische Studien», 2), Teubner, Leipzig 1866
Janko	R. Janko <i>per litteras</i>
Philippson	R. Philippson, <i>Zu Philodems Schrift über die Frömmigkeit</i> , «Hermes» 55 (1920), pp. 225-278; 364-372
Ranocchia	G. Ranocchia <i>per verba</i>
Schober	A. Schober, <i>Philodemi Περὶ εὐσεβείας libelli partem priorem restituit A. Schober</i> , Diss. ined. Königsberg 1923 [= Id., <i>Philodemi De pietate Pars prior</i> , «Cerc» 18 (1988), pp. 67- 125]
VH ² II	<i>Herculanensium Voluminum quae supersunt. Collectio altera</i> , tom. II, e Museo publico, Neapoli 1863

CONSPECTUS SIGNORUM

αβγ	litterae dubiae quae aliter legi possunt
[αβγ]	litterae ab editore suppletae
<...>	litterae ad editore additae
{...}	litterae ab editore deletae
「...」	litterae apographi
⸱	spatiolum intra duas litteras
	finis columnae (in appar.)
[...]	litterae deperditae
[.c.]	una vel duae litterae deperditae

Fr. 14 *PHerc.* 1428, cr. 2, pz. 2 = *N* fr. 14 = *VH*²
II 5

27] . . [inf. vest., inf. et sup. vest. 28 ρα *N*:
(α, λ) P 31 ρκ *N*: (κ, χ) P τ [(α, λ, χ)
32 α [(γ, π)] . τ dext. inf. arcus sicut c, ε ρ *N*:
sup. vert. P λ [sup. vest. 34 ε (ρ, β, φ)

Fr. 14 *desunt versus fere 26*

27] . . [. . . .

..... (.)] κ ρ α ι του [. . . (.)

.....] και επ [. . . .

30 (.)] σ π εν δο γ [.

..... (.)] ν τ α ρ κ α τ [. .

θ α [. (.)] . τ ρ θ α ρ ι πο λ [.

δ ε σ τ ι ν εν το ι σ με

34 . ε σ ι ν του το ι σ πε

Fr. 14	<i>desunt versus fere 26</i>	
27]..[.....(.)] καὶ τοῦ[τοῖς]και επ[.....	... (c. 4-5 words missing) and [Empedocles ¹ maintains that] in honour of these [<i>scil.</i> of the gods/dead people] (c. 3-4 words missing) making libations and offering sacrifices, and [he maintains] that afterwards they will light the funeral pyre: as a matter of fact, there are many things in these parts (1 word missing) ...
30(.)] σπένδον[τάς τε καὶ θύο]ντας κᾶτα [κα- θαγ[ί]ς{τ} <ε>σθαι· πολλ[ἀ δ' ἐστὶν ἐν τοῖς μέ-	
34	ρεσιν τούτοις πε	

Fr. 14 28 τοῦ[τοῖς supplevi: τοῦ[εἰφί Philippson: τοῦ[ε Schober spatio brevius 29 init. Με[γαρέ]ας perperam rest. Philippson (μεας Schober) eo quod με[. . . (.)]α in subpos. et duobus superpos. in marg. sin. servatum est]και επ[dispexi 30 init. ἀεὶ σ<ο>νεχέε perperam rest. Philippson eo quod omnes litt. in superpos. servatae sunt σπένδον[τάς suppl. Philippson 31 θύο]ντας supplevi (cf. DK 31 B 137.3 = Sext., *adv. math.* IX 129: λιπόμενον θύοντες, θύοντος corr. Hermann ap. fr. 122.5 Gallavotti): καὶ θύοντας perperam leg. Philippson eo quod καὶ θύο- in superpos. servatum est κᾶτα leg. Janko 31 sq. [κα]||θαγ[ί]ς{τ} <ε>σθαι restitui: [κα]||θαγ[ί]ς{τ} <ε>σθαι Janko: {κατ[α]κα}||θαγ[ί]ς{τ} <ε>σθαι Ranocchia 32 πολλ[ἀ suppl. Gomperz 33 sq. μέ|ρεσιν legi (μέ|[ρ]εσιν iam suppl. Gomperz) 34 πε|[ρί e.g. suppleverim

¹ Both Philippson and Schober saw in this fragment a reference to Empedocles. My text reconstruction traces it back to the lexicon of the Καθαρμοί (cf. *supra*, critical apparatus). There are good reasons to believe that in Philodemus' opinion (or in his source) the Περὶ φύσεως and the Καθαρμοί were two different works of Empedocles, as Diogenes Laërtius says (VIII 54; 56).